### Available online at www.ijpab.com

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2582-2845.8312

**ISSN: 2582 – 2845** *Ind. J. Pure App. Biosci.* (2020) 8(5), 348-355

Indian Journal of Pure & Applied Biosciences

Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Open Access Journal

# Combine Inoculation Effect of Pink Pigmented Facultative *Methylotrophs* and *B. Japonicum* on Plant Growth and Yield of Soybean

Y. R. Govekar\*, A. M. Navale, C. D. Deokar and U. S. Surve

Department of Plant Pathology Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli-415712 \*Corresponding Author E-mail: govekaryr@gmail.com Received: 3.08.2020 | Revised: 9.09.2020 | Accepted: 15.09.2020

## ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during kharif 2017-18 was carried out at Department of Plant Pathology and Agricultural Microbiology, PGI MPKV, Rahuri.(Maharashtra) to study the combine effect of inoculation of Methylobacterium and B.Japonicum and foliar spray of Methylobacterium isolates on plant growth and yield of soybean under field conditions. All growth and yield parameters of soybean as well as the population of Methylobacterium were influenced by the (S.T of Rhizobium +S.T of PPFMs +Foliar spray consortium of PPFMs + 100 % N) which was significantly superior over rest of all the treatments and it was at par with treatment (S.T of Rhizobium +S.T of PPFMs +Foliar spray consortium of PPFMs + 75 % N) during flowering and harvesting stage. This shows that the consortium of efficient strain of PPFMs could reduce N fertilizer application in field condition.

Keyword: Methylobacterium, Pink pigmented facultative methylotrophs, Soybean.

## **INTRODUCTION**

In a recent years, the pink pigmented facultative methylotrophs (PPFMs) is one of such a bacteria receiving more attention as a plant growth promoting bacteria. They are known to play an important role in increasing crop yields and land fertility. These are physiologically interesting an group of bacteria able grow on methanol, to methylamine as well as on a variety of  $C_2$ ,  $C_3$ and C<sub>4</sub> compounds as sole sources of carbon and energy (Lidstrom, 1992), potentially

dominating the *phyllosphere* population. They are commonly found in soil as well as on the surfaces of leaves, seeds and in the rhizosphere of a wide variety of plants (Holland & Polacco, 1994; Chanprame et al., 1996; Holland, 1997; & Shepelyakovskaya et al., 1999).

The association of PPFMs with plant possesses an associative symbiotic relationship in which PPFMs utilizes the methanol emitted from leaves of plants as sole carbon and energy source.

**Cite this article:** Govekar, Y.R., Navale, A.M., Deokar, C.D., & Surve, U.S. (2020). Combine Inoculation Effect of Pink Pigmented facultative *Methylotrophs* and *B. Japonicum* on Plant Growth and Yield of Soybean, *Ind. J. Pure App. Biosci.* 8(5), 348-355. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2582-2845.8312

Research Article

In response, PPFMs produces plant growth promoting substance such as Indole acetic acid (IAA), Gibberlic acid (GA) etc which are known to stimulate plant growth (Ivanova et al., 2001 & Koenig et al., 2002); fix the atmospheric nitrogen (Sy et al., 2001); solubilise mineral phosphate (Jones et al. 2007); induce systemic resistance against plant pathogens (Madhaiyan et al., 2006) and chelation of inorganic compound such as iron. Due to these importance, the PPFMs have received a great deal of attention as bioinoculants for use in agriculture. Combined use of two or three beneficial microorganisms as inoculation have been found to perform better than single inoculations (Alagawadi & Gaur, 1988; Jisha & Alagawadi, 1996; & Prathibha et al., 1995). Therefore the present study was undertaken to study the effect of combined

inoculation PPFMs (Methylobacterium) and B Japonicum on growth and yield of soybean.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The PPFM consortium was prepared by using Sterilized Ammonium mineral salt (AMS) broth and base material such as Fe. EDTA. Arabinose. Glycerol, PVP and Trehalose and was inoculated with loopful of efficient isolates of *Methylobacterium* cultures and kept in temperature controlled shaker (150 rpm/min) at  $30^{\circ}$ C for 5 days. After attaining the full growth  $(10^{-9} \text{ cfu/ml})$  it was diluted to1:100(1%) and sprayed @ 3.0 lit/ha after 45 days of sowing. The consortium of B.Japonicum was obtain from the Department plant pathology and Agricultural of microbiology, MPKV, Rahuri The treatments were as follows.

Treatment	Treatment details
No.	
$T_1$	Absolute control
$T_2$	Seed Treatment of <i>B.Japonicum</i> .
T <sub>3</sub>	S.T.of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T.of Reference strain + F.S. of Reference strain
$T_4$	S.T.of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T.of Reference strain + F.S. of Reference strain +50%N
<b>T</b> <sub>5</sub>	S.T.of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T.of Reference strain + F. S. of Reference strain+75%N
$T_6$	S.T.of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T.of Reference strain of PPFM+ F.S. of Reference strain +100%N
<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	S.T.of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T.of PPFM+ F.S. of PPFM
$T_8$	S.T.of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T.of PPFM + F.S. of PPFM +50%N
T <sub>9</sub>	S.T.of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T.of PPFM+ F.S. of PPFM +75%N
$T_{10}$	S.T.of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T.of PPFM + F.S.of PPFM+100%N
T	0.1.1000/11

Only 100%N  $T_{11}$ 

# **RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

The field experiment was conducted on at the research farm of Department of Plant Pathology and Agricultural Microbiology, MPKV, Rahuri, on soil with PH 8.04.The available nitrogen, available phosphorus and available potassium were 170.8, 16.12 and 370.20.There were 11 treatment combinations

with three replications for each treatment following the method of Randomized block design (RBD) The observations on plant growth and PPFMs population were taken during flowering and harvesting stage. The population of PPFMs in phyllosphere and rhizosphere was enumerated by serial dilution plate count method.

Govekar et al.Ind. J. Pure App. Biosci. (2020) 8(5), 348-355ISSN: 2582 - 2845Table 1: Effect of liquid consortium of PPFMs on plant height and shootand root length and root lengthand height of soybean during flowering and harvesting stage

$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		und height of soysean during nowering and har vesting stage							
Treatment $(c)$ <td></td> <td colspan="2"></td> <td colspan="2">Plant height</td> <td colspan="2">Shoot length</td> <td colspan="2">Root length</td>				Plant height		Shoot length		Root length	
Image: here is the image: here is the image is the image. The image is there is the image is the image is the image is		Treatment	(c	(cm)		(cm)		(cm)	
$T_1$ Absolute control29.6333.849.0217.6636.8542.04 $T_2$ Seed treatment of <i>B.Japonicum</i> 30.9136.7010.6418.8038.4844.11 $T_3$ S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of R.S+ F.S. of R.S.(PPFMs)32.7338.2611.8620.1740.0346.23 $T_4$ S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of R.S+ F.S. of R.S.+50%N37.1841.2514.0521.7242.6548.88 $T_5$ S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of R.S + F.S. of R.S +75%N42.5147.0117.9724.3948.0354.29 $T_6$ S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of R.S + F.S. of R.S +100%N43.5948.6618.0225.0549.3156.56 $T_7$ S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of PPFMs + F.S. of PPFMs36.1640.4913.0121.2641.9448.31 $T_8$ S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of PPFMs + F.S. of PPFMs +50%N38.7743.2415.6622.8345.5650.92 $T_9$ S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of PPFMs + F.S. of PPFMs+75%N44.9851.8819.5726.9652.1759.77 $T_{10}$ S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of PPFMs + F.S. of PPFMs+100%N45.7152.3720.5427.9453.1261.61 $T_{11}$ Only 100%N39.0444.4516.7023.2746.4652.04S.Em $\pm$ 0.520.400.580.380.360.68CD $\equiv$ 5%15%15.541.181.701.131.052.01			(F)	(H)	(F)	(H)	(F)	(H)	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<b>T</b> <sub>1</sub>	Absolute control	29.63	33.84	9.02	17.66	36.85	42.04	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$T_2$	Seed treatment of B.Japonicum	30.91	36.70	10.64	18.80	38.48	44.11	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	T <sub>3</sub>	S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of R.S+ F.S. of R.S.(PPFMs)	32.73	38.26	11.86	20.17	40.03	46.23	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	<b>T</b> <sub>4</sub>	S.T of B.Japonicum +S.T of R.S+ F.S. of R.S+50%N	37.18	41.25	14.05	21.72	42.65	48.88	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	T <sub>5</sub>	S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of R.S + F.S. of R.S +75%N	42.51	47.01	17.97	24.39	48.03	54.29	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	T <sub>6</sub>	S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of R.S + F.S. of R.S +100%N	43.59	48.66	18.02	25.05	49.31	56.56	
$T_8$ S.T of B.Japonicum +S.T of PPFMs + F.S. of PPFMs +50%N $38.77$ $43.24$ $15.66$ $22.83$ $45.56$ $50.92$ $T_9$ S.T of B.Japonicum +S.T of PPFMs + F.S. of PPFMs+75%N $44.98$ $51.88$ $19.57$ $26.96$ $52.17$ $59.77$ $T_{10}$ S.T of B.Japonicum +S.T of PPFMs + F.S. of PPFMs+100%N $45.71$ $52.37$ $20.54$ $27.94$ $53.12$ $61.61$ $T_{11}$ Only 100%N $39.04$ $44.45$ $16.70$ $23.27$ $46.46$ $52.04$ S.Em $\pm$ $0.52$ $0.40$ $0.58$ $0.38$ $0.36$ $0.68$ CD at 5% $1.54$ $1.18$ $1.70$ $1.13$ $1.05$ $2.01$	T <sub>7</sub>	S.T of B.Japonicum +S.T of PPFMs+ F.S. of PPFMs	36.16	40.49	13.01	21.26	41.94	48.31	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	T <sub>8</sub>	S.T of B.Japonicum +S.T of PPFMs+ F.S. of PPFMs +50%N	38.77	43.24	15.66	22.83	45.56	50.92	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	T <sub>9</sub>	S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of PPFMs + F.S. of PPFMs+75%N	44.98	51.88	19.57	26.96	52.17	59.77	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	T <sub>10</sub>	S.T of B.Japonicum +S.T of PPFMs+ F.S. of PPFMs+100%N	45.71	52.37	20.54	27.94	53.12	61.61	
S.Em ±         0.52         0.40         0.58         0.38         0.36         0.68           CD at 5%         1.54         1.18         1.70         1.13         1.05         2.01	T <sub>11</sub>	Only 100%N	39.04	44.45	16.70	23.27	46.46	52.04	
CD at 5%         1.54         1.18         1.70         1.13         1.05         2.01	S.En	1 ±	0.52	0.40	0.58	0.38	0.36	0.68	
	CD a	at 5%	1.54	1.18	1.70	1.13	1.05	2.01	

F-Flowering stage, H-Harvesting stage, S.T:-Seed Treatment, F.S-Foliar spray

In present study, significant differences were observed between various treatments by applications of PPFMs and Rhizobium consortium under graded levels of nitrogenous fertilizers on all growth attributing parameters viz, plant height, root and shoot length, fresh and dry weight of shoot and root, number of effective and non- effective nodules, of soybean during both flowering and harvesting stage. The phyllosphere as well as rhizosphere PPFMs population along with no. of pods per plant, stover yield and grain yield also shows similar trend. All the above growth parameters along with PPFMs population and the no. of pods per plant, stover and grain yield of soybean influenced by the treatment  $T_{10}$  (S.T

of *Rhizobium* +S.T of PPFMs +Foliar spray consortium of PPFMs + 100 % N) which was significantly superior over rest of all the treatments and it was at par with treatment  $T_9$ (S.T of *Rhizobium* +S.T of PPFMs +Foliar spray consortium of PPFMs + 75 % N) in respect of their influence on all growth attributing character at flowering and harvesting stage.

It is also found that the treatment  $T_7$  (S.T of *Rhizobium* +S.T of PPFMS+ Foliar spray consortium of PPFMs) recorded all the above parameters which was significantly higher over  $T_2$  (Seed treatment of *Rhizobium*) (86.75) treatment.

Treatment		Shoot fresh weight		Root fresh weight	
	rication	(F)	(H)	(F)	(H)
$T_1$	Absolute control	19.18	6.76	4.32	2.01
T <sub>2</sub>	Seed treatment of <i>B.Japonicum</i>	20.98	8.20	5.49	2.90
T <sub>3</sub>	S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of R.S+ F.S. of R.S.(PPFMs)	22.55	9.58	6.56	3.81
$T_4$	S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of R.S+ F.S. of R.S+50%N	25.87	11.09	8.01	5.06
T <sub>5</sub>	S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of R.S + F.S. of R.S +75%N	31.59	15.55	11.35	6.94
T <sub>6</sub>	S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of R.S + F.S. of R.S +100%N	32.50	16.38	11.60	7.01
T <sub>7</sub>	S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of PPFMs+ F.S. of PPFMs	24.65	10.94	7.72	4.73
$T_8$	S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of PPFMs+ F.S. of PPFMs +50%N	28.51	12.49	9.39	5.97
T9	S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of PPFMs + F.S. of of PPFMs+75%N	34.05	18.77	12.93	8.01
T <sub>10</sub>	S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of PPFMs+ F.S. of PPFMs+100%N	34.60	19.89	13.92	8.89
T <sub>11</sub>	Only 100%N	29.80	13.75	10.31	6.02
S.En	n±	0.51	0.45	0.34	0.29
CD a	at 5%	1.50	1.34	1.02	0.87

 Table 2: Effect of liquid consortium of PPFMs on shoot and root fresh weight of soybean during flowering and harvesting stage

F-Flowering stage, H-Harvesting stage, S.T:-Seed Treatment, F.S-Foliar spray

Ind. J. Pure App. Biosci. (2020) 8(5), 348-355

ISSN: 2582 - 2845

The superiority of  $T_{10}$  treatment is due the high dose of nitrogenous fertilizer and combine beneficial effect of both *Rhizobium* and PPFMs. The increase in growth parameters is due to the as per increase in graded dose nitrogenous fertilizer as reported by Ntambo et al. (2017). The Krushnanjali (2017) reported that combine effect of *Rhizobium* along with increase in graded doses of nitrogenous fertilizer results in increase in the growth parameters of the plant.

The significant improvement found in the growth parameter such as height of the

plant, development of root and shoot, and nodulation and yield parameters of the crop in the treatment inoculated with Rhizobium and PPFMs. This is due to combine beneficial effect of these two organisms. Rao and Dhir, (1993) reported that the presence of Rhizobia in the legume rhizosphere influencing the legume roots to release plant growth and promoting substances which, in turn might have enhanced the growth of Methylobacterium in situ and synergistic effect might have occurred in treatments.

Table 3:	Effect of liquid consortium of PPFMs on shoot and root dry weight	of soybean durin	g flowering
	and harvesting stage		

-					
Treatment		Shoot dry weight		Root dry weight	
		(F)	(H)	(F)	(H)
<b>T</b> <sub>1</sub>	Absolute control	1.11	0.36	0.15	0.13
<b>T</b> <sub>2</sub>	Seed treatment of <i>B.Japonicum</i>	1.37	0.46	0.23	0.19
T <sub>3</sub>	S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of R.S+ F.S. of R.S.(PPFMs)	1.57	0.55	0.30	0.25
$T_4$	S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of R.S+ F.S. of R.S+50%N	2.16	0.67	0.38	0.32
T <sub>5</sub>	S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of R.S + F.S. of R.S +75%N	2.67	1.12	0.54	0.45
T <sub>6</sub>	S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of R.S + F.S. of R.S +100%N	2.70	1.15	0.55	0.46
<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of PPFMs+ F.S. of PPFMs	2.10	0.64	0.37	0.31
T <sub>8</sub>	S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of PPFMs+ F.S. of PPFMs +50%N	2.40	0.76	0.45	0.38
T9	S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of PPFMs + F.S. of of PPFMs+75%N	2.84	1.28	0.62	0.52
T <sub>10</sub>	S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of PPFMs+ F.S. of PPFMs+100%N	2.95	1.31	0.66	0.53
T <sub>11</sub>	Only 100%N	2.47	0.80	0.47	0.39
S.En	n ±	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.02
CD a	at 5%	0.13	0.08	0.06	0.05

F-Flowering stage, H-Harvesting stage, S.T:-Seed Treatment, F.S-Foliar spray

The development of root and shoot is due to the action of plant growth promoting substances such as IAA and GA produced by the PPFMs. This has been supported by Suresh Reddy, 2002 who reported that these growth promoters allows a balanced growth of shoot and root system. When roots become more extensive due to the action of IAA, then the cytokinins of the plant signals the shoot system to form more branches. The results also supported by some workers such as Senthilkumar, 2003; Madhaiyan et al., 2009. They reported that the plant growth promoters such as IAA and GA also enhanced root growth enabling the plants to absorb more nutrient in soybean ultimately resulting in better growth, dry matter production.

		Number of effective nodule (Plant <sup>-1</sup> )		Number of non-effective nodule (Plant <sup>-1</sup> )	
		(F)	(H)	(F)	(H)
<b>T</b> <sub>1</sub>	Absolute control	14.67	4.33	18.00	24.00
T <sub>2</sub>	Seed treatment of B.Japonicum	16.33	5.67	16.67	22.33
T <sub>3</sub>	S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of R.S+ F.S. of R.S.(PPFMs)	17.67	7.00	15.33	20.67
$T_4$	S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of R.S+ F.S. of R.S+50%N	19.67	8.67	12.33	18.67
T <sub>5</sub>	S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of R.S + F.S. of R.S +75%N	23.67	12.33	9.00	13.00
T <sub>6</sub>	S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of R.S + F.S. of R.S +100%N	24.67	13.33	8.00	12.67
<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	S.T of B.Japonicum +S.T of PPFMs+ F.S. of PPFMs	19.33	8.33	12.67	19.00
T <sub>8</sub>	S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of PPFMs+ F.S. of PPFMs +50%N	21.67	10.00	10.67	16.33
T <sub>9</sub>	S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of PPFMs + F.S. of of PPFMs+75%N	26.00	16.67	6.33	10.67
T <sub>10</sub>	S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of PPFMs+ F.S. of PPFMs+100%N	27.00	17.00	5.33	9.67
T <sub>11</sub>	Only 100%N	22.33	10.33	10.33	15.67
S.Em ±		0.38	0.43	0.37	0.44
CD at 5%		1.13	1.27	1.09	1.29

F-Flowering stage, H-Harvesting stage, S.T:-Seed Treatment, F.S-Foliar spray

The maximum nodule number may be attributed to the presence of flavonoid compounds taken up by the roots of soybean plants. This has been supported by Subba Rao who reported that the flavonoid compounds secreted by the roots of soybean plants helps to release naringenin, genistein and diadzein (iso flavones).So these flavonoid molecules by legumes can either induce or block the transcription of *nod* genes in *rhizobium* and *Bradyrhizobium* resulting in increased nodulation as well as nitrogen fixation .

Similar results were shown by Radha (2007) and Meenakshi (2009) Radha et al.

(2009) reported that the combined inoculation of Methylobacterium sp with Rhizobium sp .significantly increased plant growth parameters and such as root shoot development, number of leaves, nodulation, compared with individual inoculation of Rhizobium sp or uninoculated control. While, Meenakshi (2008) reported significantly higher number of leaves, shoot dry weight and root dry weight and nodulation was recorded in the treatments that received Bradyrhizobium and PPFM spray than Bradyrhizobium alone and uninoculated control.

 Table 5: Effect of liquid consortium of PPFMs on PPFM count in phyllosphere and rhizosphere of soybean during flowering and harvesting stage

		0	0		
		PPFM	Count	PPFM	Count
		(Phyllosphere)		(Rhizosphere)	
		(x10 <sup>6</sup>	cfu/g)	(x10 <sup>3</sup>	cfu/g)
		(F)	(H)	(F)	(H)
T <sub>1</sub>	Absolute control	85.06	12.01	10.33	3.10
T <sub>2</sub>	Seed treatment of <i>B.Japonicum</i>	87.77	14.02	11.96	4.17
T <sub>3</sub>	S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of R.S+ F.S. of R.S.(PPFMs)	89.82	15.07	13.56	5.11
$T_4$	S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of R.S+ F.S. of R.S+50%N	94.46	17.08	15.77	6.11
T <sub>5</sub>	S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of R.S + F.S. of R.S +75%N	99.82	20.19	19.37	7.98
T <sub>6</sub>	S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of R.S + F.S. of R.S +100%N	100.78	21.01	20.05	8.48
T <sub>7</sub>	S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of PPFMs+ F.S. of PPFMs	92.58	16.46	14.79	6.02
T <sub>8</sub>	S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of PPFMs+ F.S. of PPFMs +50% N	96.80	18.23	17.62	7.03
T <sub>9</sub>	S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of PPFMs + F.S. of of PPFMs+75%N	103.04	22.57	21.86	9.74
T <sub>10</sub>	S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of PPFMs+ F.S. of PPFMs+100%N	105.01	23.52	22.95	10.06
T <sub>11</sub>	Only 100%N	97.65	19.04	18.14	7.07
S.En	n±	0.68	0.35	0.38	0.30
CD a	at 5%	2.01	1.03	1.13	0.89

Govekar et al.	Ind. J. Pure App. Biosci.	(2020) 8(5), 348-355	ISSN: 2582 – 2845
In case of <i>phyllosphere</i> and <i>n</i>	rhizosphere PPFM	compared to soybean	rhizosphere. The
population. The results are	mainly due to the	increased population on the	e leaves is due to the
growth hormone p	production by	fact that they utilize the	gaseous methanol.
Methylobacterium sp.	especially high	This has been supported b	y Nemecek-marshal
cytokinin production in apica	al plant tissues and	et al. 1995 and Daniel e	et al. (2006). They
rhizosphere soil. The anoth	ner reason behind	reported that the PPFMs	population utilize
that the foliar spraying	PPFMs which	gaseous methanol emitted	by the stomata of
significantly influenced the l	PPFMs population	the leaves of the plants du	ring leaf expansion
in the foliar region such as	s phyllosphere. of	by pectin demethylation as	s carbon and energy
rice crop as reported by Holla	and, (1997b).	source and promote the g	growth of their host
TT (1 1 11	1		1 1.

However, the phyllosphere region of the soybean recorded the highest PPFMs load

e e 1. al y e of n y st through the release of metabolites.

Table 6: Effect of liquid consortium of PPFMs on pods, grain and Stover yield of soybean during flowering and harvesting stage

	Treatment	No of Pods plant <sup>-1</sup>	Grain yield	Stover yield
$T_1$	Absolute control	39.95	13.01	(qiia ) 16.27
$T_2$	Seed treatment of <i>B.Japonicum</i>	45.71	15.39	18.60
<b>T</b> <sub>3</sub>	S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of R.S+ F.S. of R.S.(PPFMs)	48.55	16.42	21.07
$T_4$	S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of R.S+ F.S. of R.S+50%N	52.41	18.36	23.04
<b>T</b> <sub>5</sub>	S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of R.S + F.S. of R.S +75%N	60.85	21.57	26.29
T <sub>6</sub>	S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of R.S + F.S. of R.S +100%N	61.18	22.01	27.07
<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of PPFMs+ F.S. of PPFMs	51.24	16.78	22.45
T <sub>8</sub>	S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of PPFMs+ F.S. of PPFMs +50%N	55.01	19.08	24.35
T9	S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of PPFMs + F.S. of of PPFMs+75%N	63.98	23.78	28.12
T <sub>10</sub>	S.T of <i>B.Japonicum</i> +S.T of PPFMs+ F.S. of PPFMs+100%N	65.06	24.13	29.22
T <sub>11</sub>	Only 100%N	57.50	20.50	25.19
S.En	1±	0.87	0.35	0.35
CD a	ıt 5%	2.58	1.03	1.04

The increase in yield parameters in the treatment containing 100% N is due to as per graded dose nitrogenous fertilizer reported by Krushnajali (2017).

The Krushnajali (2017) and Ntambo et al 2017 both worker reported that increase in graded doses of nitrogenous fertilizer results in increase in the yield parameters of the plant. The superior performance of the soybean inoculated with **PPFMs** and Rhizobium isolates may be due to the cumulative effect of increased plant growth substances, enhanced nutrient uptake, nitrogen fixation and control of plant pathogen by sidrophore production resulting in higher yield of soybean crop.

This shows that the combination of Rhizobium and PPFMs along with 75%N

enhances the all growth parameters, as well as yield of soybean crop concluding that there is a possibility of saving fertilizer nitrogen to an extent of 25% to soybean crop. It is also found that the PPFMs consortium in combination with Rhizobium without any nitrogen dose shows significant improvement in growth attributing characters and yield of soybean as compared to the *Rhizobium* alone indicating the importance of dose of PPFMs consortium along with Rhizobium as compared to Rhizobium alone.

## REFERENCES

Alagawadi, A. R., & Gaur, A.C. (1988). Associative effect of rhizobium and phosphate soluiblizing bacteria on the yield and nutrient uptake of

chickpea. Pl. Soil, 105, 241-352.

- Chanprame, S., Todd, J. J., & Widholm, J. M. (1996). Prevention of pink pigmented facultative methylotrophic bacteria (Methylobacterium mesophilicum) contamination of plant tissue cultures. *Plant Cell Rep.*, *16*, 222-225.
- Daniel, T. J., Husband, R., Fitter, A. H., & Young, J. P. W. (2006). Molecular diversity of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi colonising arable crops. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.*, 36, 203–209.
- Holland, M. A. (1997a). *Methylobacterium* and plants. *Rec. Res. Dev. Plant Physiol.*, *1*, 207–213.
- Holland, M. A. (1997b). Occam's razor applied to hormonology (Are cytokinins produced by plants?). *Plant Physiol.*, 115(3), 865–868.
- Holland, M. A., & Polacco, J. C. (1994).
  PPFMs and other covert contaminants: Is there more to plant physiology than just plant? *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.*, 45, 197-209.
- Ivanova, E. G., Doronina, N. V., & Trotsenko, Y. A. (2001). Aerobic methylobacteria are capable of synthesizing auxins. *Microbiology*, 70, 392-397.
- Jisha, M. S., & Alagawadi, A. R. (1996). Nutrient uptake and yield of sorghum inoculated with phosphate solubilizing bacteria and cellulolytic fungus in a cotton stalk amended vertisol. *Microbiol. Res.*, 151, 213-217.
- Jones nirmalnath, P., Krishnaraj, P. U., Kulkarni, J. H., Pranav, C., Alagwadi, A. R., & Vasudev, A. R. (2007). Pink Pigmented Facultative Methylotrophs Solubilize Mineral Phosphates. Paper presented at 48th Annual Conf., AMI,held at IIT, Chennai, between 18-21.
- Koenig, R. L., Morris, R. O., & Polacco, J. C. (2002). tRNA is the source of low level trans-zeatin production in *Methylobacterium* spp. J. Bacteriol., 184, 1832-1842.

- Krushnanjali, A. P. (2017). Studies on salt tolerant *Rhizobium* in soybean. PhD. Thesis, MPKV, Rahuri.
- Lidstrom, M. E. (1992). In: The Prokaryotes, (Eds.) Balows, A., Truper, H. G., Dworkin, M., Harder, W., & Schleifer, K. H., Springer Verlag, New York, pp. 431-445.
- Madhaiyan, M., Suresh reddy, B. V., Anandam, R., Senthilkumar, M., Poonguzhali, S., & Sundaram, P. (2006). Plant growth promoting *Methylobacterium* induces defense responses in ground nut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) compared with root pathogen. *Curr. Microbiol*, 53, 270– 276.
- Madhaiyan, M., Poonguzhali, S., Senthilkumar, M., Sundaram, S., & Sa, T. (2009). Nodulation and plantgrowth promotion by methylotrophic bacteria isolated from tropical legumes. *Microbiol Res.*, 164, 114– 120.
- Meenakshi, B. C. (2008). Perfromance of Methylotrophs in soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill) under field condition. *Ph.D. Thesis*, UAS, Dharwad.
- Meenakshi, B. C., & Savalgi, V. P. (2009).
  Effect of co-inoculation of *Methylobacterium* and *B.Japonicum* on plant growth dry matter content and enzyme activities in Soybean. *Karnataka J.Agricultural Sciences.*, 22(2), 344-348.
- Nemecek-marshall, M., MacDonald, R. C., Franzen, J. J., Wojciechowski, C. L., & Fall, R. (1995). Methanol emission from leaves: enzymatic detection of gas-phase methanol and relation of methanol fluxes to stomatal conductance and leaf development. *Plant Physiol.*, 108, 1359–1368.
- Ntambo, M. S., Chilinda, I. S., Taruvinga, A., Hafeez, S., Toheed, A., Sharif, R., Conolatha, C., & Kies, L. (2017). The effect of *rhizobium* inoculation with nitrogen fertilizer on growth and yield

Copyright © Sept.-Oct., 2020; IJPAB

Ind. J. Pure App. Biosci. (2020) 8(5), 348-355

ISSN: 2582 - 2845

of soybeans (*Glycine max L*). International Journal of biosciences. 10(3), 163-172.

- Prathiba, C. K., Alagawadi, A. K., & Sreenivasa, M. N. (1995). Establishment of inoculated organisms in rhizosphere and their influence on nutrient uptake and yield of cotton. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.*, 8, 22-27.
- Radha, T. K. (2007). Studies on Methylotrophs and their beneficial effects on soybean (Glycine max (L) Merrill). *Msc. Thesis*, UAS, Dharwad.
- Radha, T. K., & Savalgi, V. P., & Alagawadi, A. R. (2009). Effect of methylotrophs on growth and yield of Soybean. *Karnataka. J. Agric. Sci.*, 22, 118-121.
- Rao, L., & Dhir, K. K. (1993). Some biochemical aspects on nitrogen fixation under salt stress in mungbean seedlings. In New Trends in Plant Physiology. Proceedings of National Symposium on Growth and Differentiation in Plants. 255-258.
- Senthilkumar, M. (2003). Evaluating diazotrophic diversity and endophytic

colonization ability *Azorhizobium caulinodans* and *Methylobacerium* species in bacterised and biotized rice. *Ph. D Thesis,* Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore (India).

- Shepelyakovskaya, A. O., Doronina, N. V., Laman, A. G., Brovko, F. A., & Trotsenko, Y. A. (1999). New data on the ability of aerobic methylotrophic bacteria to synthesize cytokinins. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk, 368*, 555-557.
- Subba Rao, N. S. (1999). Soil Microbiology, Fourth edition of soil microorganisms and plant growth, Oxford and IBH Publishing, pp. 176-178.
- Suresh Reddy, B. V. (2002). Studies on PPFM as anew bioinoculant for groundnut. *M. Sc. (Agri.) Thesis*, TNAU, Coimbatore.
- Sy, A., Giraud, E., Jourand, P., Garcia, N., Willems, A., de Lajudie, P., Prin, Y., Gillis, M., Boivin-Masson, C., & Dreyfus, B. (2001). Methylotrophic *Methylobacterium* bacteria nodulate and fix nitrogen in symbiosis with legumes. J. Bacteriol., 183, 214–220.